

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/068,293	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SANDALON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> David Guzo	<b>Art Unit</b> 1636	

**All Participants:**

 (1) David Guzo.

 (2) Maria Marucci.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (3) John P. White.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 July 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

2, 7, 9, 10, 18, 20, 27, 28, 37, 42, 48-50

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicants' representatives gave approval for an examiner's amendment which placed the application in condition for allowance.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)